

1 **Non-attendance is associated with work performance due to the side effects of COVID-19**
2 **vaccination: A cross-sectional study in a Japanese manufacturing industry**

3

4 Misaki Yamada¹, Seitaro Dohi¹, Hiroshi Ide¹, Kosuke Mafune²

5

6 Author contributions:

7 M.Y. and K.M. conceived the ideas; M.Y. and H.I. collected the data; M.Y. and K.M. analyzed
8 the data; S.D. and K.M. interpreted the data; M.Y. drafted the work; H.I., S.D., and K.M.
9 revised it critically for important intellectual content. All the authors approved the final version
10 of the manuscript to be published. They agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work and
11 ensured that the questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work were
12 appropriately investigated and resolved.

13

14 Authors' institutional affiliations:

15 1; Health care section, Mitsui Chemicals, Inc.

16 2; Department of Mental Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of
17 Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

18

19

20

21 © The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press. This is an Open Access article
22 distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License
23 <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>, which permits non-commercial re-use,
24 distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For
25 commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

26 Corresponding Author: Kosuke Mafune, Ph.D.
27 1-1, Iseigaoka, Yahatanishi-ku, Kitakyushu, Fukuoka 807-8555, Japan
28 Phone: +81-93-603-1611, Fax: +81-93-692-5419, E-mail: kmafune@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp
29
30 Running head:
31 Non-attendance is associated with work performance due to the side effects of vaccination.

32 Abstract

33 Objective: Although vaccines have promoted the socioeconomic normalization of the new
34 coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), adverse effects on work performance due to the
35 post-vaccination side effects have been reported. Thus, we examined the relationship between
36 the status of going to work the day following vaccination as a post-vaccination employment
37 consideration and work performance among the Japanese workers in the manufacturing
38 industry.

39 Methods: Overall, 1,273 employees who received the COVID-19 vaccine in a Japanese
40 manufacturing district were surveyed using a self-administered web-based questionnaire that
41 included fever, fatigue, workplace attendance the day after vaccination, work performance one
42 week after vaccination, and the demographic and occupational characteristics (age, gender,
43 work style, and psychological distress [K6 scale]). The effects of fatigue and attendance on
44 declining work performance were estimated using a linear mixed model, with individuals as
45 random effects and the rest as fixed effects.

46 Results: After adjusting for the demographic and occupational characteristics, the third-order
47 interaction of fever, fatigue, and attendance on the day following vaccination was significant.
48 The non-attendance group had a significantly higher work performance than the attendance
49 group in those without fever and long-term fatigue [$F(1, 1559)=4.9, p=0.026$] and with fever
50 and short-term fatigue [$F(1, 1559)=5.9, p=0.015$]. Fever and workplace attendance the
51 following day were not directly related to a decrease in work performance after vaccination.

52 Conclusions: Our findings suggest that non-attendance at the workplace is associated with work
53 performance due to the side effects after COVID-19 vaccination.

54

55 Keywords: COVID-19, vaccination, work performance, fatigue, attendance

56 Key Points

57 *What is already known on this topic*

58 Although the side effects of COVID-19 vaccines disturb work performance among healthcare
59 workers, the association of the side effects with work performance is unclear among
60 manufacturing workers.

61

62 *What this study adds*

63 The non-attendance was associated with higher work performance than attendance on the day
64 following the COVID-19 vaccination.

65

66 *How this study might affect research, practice or policy*

67 This study could help maintain work performance against the side effects of vaccination in the
68 manufacturing industry.

69

70 INTRODUCTION

71 Although vaccines against the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) are promoting economic
72 normalcy, how to deal with the adverse effects on employment caused by their side effects is an
73 urgent issue. Mild side effects of vaccines, such as fatigue and fever, occur frequently. Besides,
74 cases of missing work owing to the vaccine-related side effects have been reported. However,
75 which side effects adversely affect work performance and to what extent remains unexplored;
76 further, despite the side effects on employment, no effective measures have been developed to
77 date that do not decline work performance.

78

79 Fatigue, a side effect of the messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA)-based COVID-19 vaccines,
80 negatively affects work-related performance. The incidence of the side effects of the
81 COVID-19 vaccine is higher than that of the influenza vaccine, with 66% of the people who
82 have been administered the COVID-19 vaccine experiencing at least one general symptom.^{1,2}
83 The appearance of general symptoms, such as fever and fatigue, leads to increased
84 absenteeism.² Although general symptoms did not result in absence from work, in a study of the
85 Moderna vaccine's recipients, 25% of the healthcare workers had trouble performing their daily
86 activities shortly after vaccination.¹ Unlike fever, fatigue is a subjective symptom, making it
87 difficult to observe objectively and receive appropriate consideration from supervisors and
88 co-workers. Therefore, there is a pressing need to consider the measures to minimize the impact
89 of the side effects of the COVID-19 vaccine on work performance.

90

91 Although planned leaves from work after vaccination are helpful for business continuity, the
92 effect of such leaves on work performance needs to be clarified. Avoiding same-day
93 vaccinations in all departments to deal with sudden absences due to the side effects is

94 recommended.²⁻⁴ If organizations schedule to get vaccinated immediately before employee's
95 planned leaves or provide paid time off for two days after vaccination, then the impact on
96 absenteeism will be reduced.^{4,5} However, it is unclear whether planned leaves are associate
97 with presenteeism.

98

99 This study focused on fatigue after vaccination and investigated the side effects, work
100 attendance after vaccination, and work performance. Most studies have surveyed the side
101 effects after vaccination, and their impact on work has been reported in healthcare workers,¹⁻⁵
102 however, no research has reported it in general workers. In addition, side effects and their
103 impact on the attendance status and effective work considerations have not been scrutinized
104 thus far. Therefore, we investigated the impact of the duration of the side effects and attendance
105 status on work performance after vaccination in Japanese workers.

106

107 METHODS

108 Study design

109 This was an observational study based on a cross-sectional analysis of the survey data. A
110 district of a manufacturing company in Japan vaccinated its employees with the COVID-19
111 vaccine (mRNA-1273 [Moderna]/first and second doses) at the workplace from July to
112 September 2021. The company also conducted a web-based survey, wherein the participants
113 were required to respond to items on their post-vaccination physical condition for
114 management purposes. The survey form was emailed to the employees one week after each of
115 the first and second doses, and the responses were collected between July 13 and September 16,
116 2021. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the University of
117 Occupational and Environmental Health (R3-065).

118 Participants

119 Overall, 1,273 employees working in a manufacturing district were offered the opportunity to
120 receive the COVID-19 vaccine at the workplace, of whom 934 requested to be vaccinated. A
121 web-based questionnaire was sent to such 934 employees who received vaccinations at the
122 workplace; the questionnaire was meant to follow up on their physical condition. We obtained
123 participant's consent to use the survey results of the study and analyzed them. The participants
124 included a mix of employees whose work was and was not teleworking eligible.

125

126 Measurements

127 Side effects

128 The number of days with side effects (fatigue and fever of 37.5°C or higher) persisting in the
129 week after each vaccination was measured. Fatigue and fever were selected because they are
130 reported to occur frequently as adverse reactions, as indicated by the vaccine and clinical
131 reports.⁶⁻⁹ Fatigue was evaluated in three groups: no symptoms, short-term fatigue (one or two
132 days), and long-term fatigue (three to seven days), with reference to the post-vaccination
133 vacation system. Fever was examined in two groups: no symptoms and symptoms (one to seven
134 days).

135

136 Attendance

137 The status of attendance at the workplace on the day after vaccination was measured using the
138 choice of attendance at the workplace, telecommuting, paid leaves, or official holidays. As a
139 special consideration for possible side effects after vaccination, manufacturing skilled workers,
140 for whom telework was not normally part of their work schedule, were assigned tasks that
141 they could perform at home. We evaluated two groups: the attendance (those who attended the

142 workplace) and non-attendance groups (those who did not attend the workplace
143 [telecommuting, paid leaves, and official holidays]).

144

145 Work performance

146 Work performance during the previous year and one week after vaccination was measured
147 using three questions extracted from the World Health Organization Health and Work
148 Performance Questionnaire; besides, the reduction in the work performance due to vaccination
149 was evaluated.¹⁰ The performance was rated on an 11-point Likert scale ranging from 0 to 10.
150 The performance during the first week after vaccination was divided by performance during the
151 previous year; it was considered as declining if it was below 1.0.

152

153 Potential confounder

154 Age, sex, work style, the day of the week of vaccination, and psychological distress were
155 assessed. Age was evaluated in six age groups: 10s, 20s, 30s, 40s, 50s, and 60s and older. There
156 were two types of work styles in this manufacturing plant: shift and regular day. Accordingly,
157 we evaluated two groups: shift and non-shift workers. The day of the week when they were
158 vaccinated was measured because the number of consecutive days off varied depending on the
159 day after vaccination. This may have affected their choice of attendance on the day after the
160 vaccination and their work performance after the vaccination. Psychological distress was
161 measured using the K6¹¹ because poor mental status before vaccination might affect the
162 workplace performance. It consists of six items asking about the symptoms of psychological
163 distress frequently experienced during the past 30 days. The response options ranged from zero
164 (never) to four (always); the higher the score, the worse the evaluation. If the K6 score was 13,
165 the mental status was considered as poor.

166 Statistical analysis

167 The participants completed a questionnaire after each vaccination session. This was a
168 cross-sectional study in which the results of each survey were analyzed as a single survey. First,
169 to provide an overall view of the participants, the distributions of their demographic and
170 occupational characteristics were calculated. Second, the direct effects of fatigue and
171 attendance on the decline in work performance were estimated using a linear mixed design
172 model, with individuals as random effects and the rest as fixed effects. The interactions between
173 fatigue, fever, and attendance were confirmed. Third, we analyzed the decline in work
174 performance only for those participants who could perform their normal work duties through
175 teleworking (telework eligible workers). Finally, as a sensitivity analysis, we analyzed the
176 decline in telecommuting performance among employees eligible for telework, excluding those
177 who had a holiday or paid leave on the day after vaccination.

178

179 RESULTS

180 A total of 824 employees responded to the web-based survey (the responses after the second
181 vaccination were not collected from 68 respondents). Table 1 shows the demographic and
182 occupational characteristics and the scores for each scale. Among the vaccine recipients, 294
183 (35.6%) and 630 (83.3%) reported experiencing fatigue after the first and second doses,
184 respectively. Overall, 487 (59.1%) and 672 (88.9%) recipients did not attend the workplace
185 after the first and second vaccinations, respectively. Many employees requested the vaccination
186 on earlier dates; moreover, there was no significant difference in the number of people
187 vaccinated on different days of the week. The percentages of the recipients with a poor mental
188 status before vaccination were 4.0% and 3.9% for the first and second doses, respectively. The
189 mean work performance in the week following vaccination was lower than that in the year

190 before vaccination.

191

192 Table 2 shows the relationship between fatigue and fever during the week after vaccination,
193 work performance, and workplace attendance on the day after vaccination. The main effect of
194 fatigue was significant and multiple comparisons revealed that the greatest decline was
195 observed for prolonged fatigue. Fever and attendance at the workplace the following day were
196 not directly related to the workplace performance after the vaccination. The third-order
197 interaction of fever, fatigue, and attendance the next day was significant. Among those who had
198 long-term fatigue without fever, the non-attendance group had a significantly higher work
199 performance compared with that of the attendance group. Among those with fever and
200 short-term fatigue, the non-attendance group had a significantly higher work performance than
201 that of the attendance group. In the cases of fever and long-term fatigue, work performance did
202 not differ between the two attendance groups.

203

204 Table 3 presents the results of the analysis restricted to the telework eligible workers. In the
205 model adjusted for the confounding factors, the main effects of fatigue and attendance at work
206 on the day after the vaccination were significant. The interaction between fatigue and
207 attendance was significant, and when the former persisted for a long period, the non-attendance
208 group had a significantly higher work performance one week after the vaccination. Similar
209 results were obtained in a comparison of attendance in the work and teleworking groups, which
210 excluded those who were absent from work the next day and had paid time-off (Appendix).

211

212 DISCUSSION

213 Fatigue, which occurs at a high frequency after vaccination, adversely affects the work

214 performance during the first week after vaccination; however, this effect can be controlled by
215 workstyle considerations on the day after vaccination. In this study, the post-vaccination fatigue
216 occurred in 58.5% of the patients, similar to previous studies.^{1,12,13} The appearance of fatigue
217 significantly decreased the work performance during the first week after vaccination; however,
218 the presence of fever, which could be objectively determined, was not significantly associated
219 with performance. When we compared the groups that did (attendance group) and did not
220 (non-attendance group) attend the workplace the day after vaccination, we noticed that the
221 latter saw a lesser decline in performance when no fever and long-term fatigue persisted (three
222 to seven days) and also when fever and short-term (one or two days) fatigue occurred. Thus,
223 fatigue cannot be ignored when managers make considerations.

224

225 When the side effect of fever appears after vaccination, the status of non-attendance at the
226 workplace on the day after vaccination is practical in terms of a lesser decline in work
227 performance during the first week after vaccination due to short-term fatigue. In this study,
228 even if the duration of fatigue was short-term (one or two days), non-attendance had a
229 significantly higher work performance. According to the reports of the side effects of the
230 COVID-19 vaccine, fever is often at its maximum severity the day after vaccination.¹⁴ If
231 employees do not attend the workplace on the day after vaccination, then it may have higher
232 work performance during the first week after vaccination than if they attend the workplace.
233 This is because it avoids the decline in performance that occurs by attending the workplace on
234 the day after vaccination when a patient has a severe fever.

235

236 Even when the objectively determinable side effect of fever does not occur, the status of
237 non-attendance on the day after the vaccination is feasible in terms of a lesser decline in work

238 performance in the cases of prolonged fatigue. In this study, when fever did not occur and
239 fatigue was long-term (three to seven days), non-attendance had a significantly higher work
240 performance. According to the reports on the side effects of the COVID-19 vaccine, the
241 proportion of severe cases of fatigue was higher on the day after the vaccination.¹⁴ On the day
242 following the vaccination, when fatigue is the most serious, workplace attendance leads to poor
243 work performance in the first week after the vaccination. The status of non-attendance at the
244 workplace on the following day may have higher work performance than attendance during the
245 first week after the vaccination in the case of prolonged fatigue by avoiding the performance
246 decline on the day after vaccination. When fatigue was short-term (one or two days), the reason
247 for the insufficient difference in the work performance between the attendance and
248 non-attendance groups may be that the degree of fatigue was mild and had no adverse effects on
249 the work performance. These results suggest that even if symptoms persist for a long period, the
250 effect of short-term workstyle considerations on the work performance improvement is
251 significant.

252
253 Non-attendance can be a practical measure after vaccination because it had higher work
254 performance. For the telework eligible workers, non-attendance on the day after the vaccination
255 has higher work performance than attendance, with or without fatigue. Although the decrease
256 in the work performance is remarkable when fatigue persists over a long period, non-attendance
257 is especially practical for the telework eligible workers because it had higher work performance.
258 Even without limiting the participants, as mentioned above, non-attendance has higher work
259 performance when there is fever and the duration of fatigue is short-term, or when there is no
260 fever and fatigue is long-time. As the appearance and duration of fatigue are unknown prior to
261 vaccination, preparing a non-attendance system for the post-vaccination work performance

262 decline may be a practical measure in offices with mixed work patterns, such as shift and
263 indirect department work.

264

265 This is the first study to show the effectiveness of non-attendance at the workplace the day after
266 vaccination in terms of a lesser decline in work performance due to fatigue (a common side
267 effect of the COVID-19 vaccine) in the week following the vaccination. Nevertheless, it has
268 some limitations. The number of days of fatigue is not an experience sampling method, and
269 may be inaccurate because of a recall bias. Although a more precise assessment of the number
270 of days could have been made using a method such as recording the presence or absence of
271 fatigue at a regular time each day, the difference would have been minimal because the survey
272 period was short (one week). Further, the survey period was short (one week), and considering
273 the burden on the subjects, this survey was a realistic approach. Additionally, in severe cases
274 involving fever and long-term fatigue, non-attendance at the workplace only on the day after
275 the vaccination may be insufficient for work performance. In this study, as the results differed
276 between the participants of the entire office and the telework eligible workers, more detailed
277 effects could be obtained by assigning the number of non-working days to the participants and
278 industry sectors and conducting intervention studies. Intervention studies were needed to
279 prove the effects of non-attendance, but it was impractical in practice to assign work patterns
280 by the researchers the day after vaccination, making intervention difficult. It was not possible
281 to evaluate the association with the actual status of work and work performance. In this study,
282 manufacturing skilled workers, for whom telework is not normally part of their work schedule,
283 were assigned tasks that could be done at home, but the physical load was less than when they
284 were at the workplace. Moreover, many manufacturing skilled workers may have chosen to
285 telecommute because the manufacturing company assigned tasks that they could perform at

286 home as a special consideration for possible side effects after vaccination. Those who took
287 paid leaves were to prepare for symptoms, a reality similar to waiting for work. Therefore, the
288 actual conditions of teleworkers and paid leave takers may be similar, and the two could not
289 be separated. Instead, the subjects were narrowed down to indirect departments where
290 telework was implemented, and a sensitivity analysis was conducted to analyze the work-only
291 results.

292

293 CONCLUSIONS

294 This study demonstrated the association with the non-attendance workstyle on the day
295 following the COVID-19 vaccination and work performance. Fatigue occurs frequently after
296 the COVID-19 vaccination and is difficult to objectively assess, making it tough to obtain
297 consideration from others; however, avoiding attending the workplace the day after the
298 vaccination may have higher work performance despite side effects during the first week after
299 the vaccination. Considering productivity, it is important to choose a work style that results in
300 a lesser decline in work performance rather than uniformly granting leave after vaccination.
301 The results could help in planning vaccinations in the manufacturing industry, where telework
302 programs are not available, when there is a need to promote vaccination against new emerging
303 infectious diseases in the future. For other occupations that have telework programs, the
304 results will help to consider employment considerations to maintain productivity. Many
305 manufacturing skilled workers may have chosen to telecommute because the manufacturing
306 company assigned tasks that they could perform at home as a special consideration for
307 possible side effects after vaccination for manufacturing skilled workers. Research at other
308 establishments and in other occupations would be desirable to determine the actual effects of
309 telecommuting considerations on manufacturing skilled workers.

310 Data availability statement: Research data are not shared.

311

312 List of supporting information:

313 1) Appendix: Sensitivity analysis without absence (N=366 (1st), 151(2nd)).

314

315 Disclosure

316 Ethical approval: This study was approved by the ethics committee of the University of

317 Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan (Reference No. R3-065).

318

319 Informed consent: Informed consent from all participants was obtained via website.

320

321 Registry and registration no. of the study/trial: N/A.

322

323 Animal studies: N/A.

324

325 Conflict of interest: Authors declare no Conflict of Interests for this article.

326 References

327 1. Kadali RAK, Janagama R, Peruru S, et al. Non-life-threatening adverse effects with
328 COVID-19 mRNA-1273 vaccine: A randomized, cross-sectional study on healthcare workers
329 with detailed self-reported symptoms. *J Med Virol* 2021;93(7):4420-4429.
330 doi:[10.1002/jmv.26996](https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.26996)

331 2. Chrissian AA, Oyoyo UE, Patel P, et al. Impact of COVID-19 vaccine-associated
332 side effects on health care worker absenteeism and future booster vaccination. *Vaccine*
333 2022;40(23):3174-3181. doi:[10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.04.046](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.04.046)

334 3. Cohen DA, Greenberg P, Formanowski B, Parikh PD. Are COVID-19 mRNA
335 vaccine side effects severe enough to cause missed work? Cross-sectional study of health
336 care-associated workers. *Medicine* 2022;101(7):e28839. doi:[10.1097/MD.00000000000028839](https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000028839)

337 4. Breeher LE, Wolf ME, Geyer H, et al. Work absence following COVID-19
338 vaccination in a cohort of healthcare personnel. *J Occup Environ Med* 2022;64(1):6-9.
339 doi:[10.1097/jom.0000000000002376](https://doi.org/10.1097/jom.0000000000002376)

340 5. Park C, Sakong J, Jo S, Kim M, Baek K. Adverse effects on work and daily life
341 interference among healthcare workers after the first and second ChAdOx1 and BNT162b2
342 COVID-19 vaccine doses. *Vaccines* 2021;9(8):926. doi:[10.3390/vaccines9080926](https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9080926)

343 6. Pormohammad A, Zarei M, Ghorbani S, et al. Efficacy and safety of COVID-19
344 vaccines: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Vaccines*
345 2021;9(5):467. doi:[10.3390/vaccines9050467](https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9050467)

346 7. Kaur RJ, Dutta S, Bhardwaj P, et al. Adverse events reported from COVID-19
347 vaccine trials: A systematic review. *Indian J Clin Biochem* 2021;36(4):427-439.
348 doi:[10.1007/s12291-021-00968-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12291-021-00968-z)

349 8. Rabail R, Ahmed W, Ilyas M, et al. The side effects and adverse clinical cases
350 reported after COVID-19 immunization. *Vaccines* 2022;10(4):488.
351 doi:[10.3390/vaccines10040488](https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines10040488)

352 9. Co. MadbMJ. A medical package insert “Spikevax® Intramuscular Injection
353 (Monovalent: Original),” Translated from Japanese. 2021. Accessed August 7, 2023.

354 10. Kessler RC, Barber C, Beck A, et al. The World Health Organization Health and
355 Work Performance Questionnaire (HPQ). *J Occup Environ Med* 2003;45(2):156-174.
356 doi:[10.1097/01.jom.0000052967.43131.51](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.jom.0000052967.43131.51)

357 11. Furukawa TA, Kawakami N, Saitoh M, et al. The performance of the Japanese
358 version of the K6 and K10 in the World Mental Health Survey Japan. *Int J Methods Psychiatr
359 Res* 2008;17(3):152-158. doi:[10.1002/mpr.257](https://doi.org/10.1002/mpr.257)

360 12. Meo SA, Bukhari IA, Akram J, Meo AS, Klonoff DC. COVID-19 vaccines:
361 comparison of biological, pharmacological characteristics and adverse effects of
362 Pfizer/BioNTech and Moderna Vaccines. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2021;25(3):1663-1669.
363 doi:[10.26355/eurrev_202102_24877](https://doi.org/10.26355/eurrev_202102_24877)

364 13. Andrzejczak-Grządka S, Czudy Z, Donderska M. Side effects after COVID-19
365 vaccinations among residents of Poland. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2021;25(12):4418-4421.
366 doi:[10.26355/eurrev_202106_26153](https://doi.org/10.26355/eurrev_202106_26153)

367 14. Ito S, Kusunoki S, Tsuchida N, Kaneko Y, Tobita M. Focused study of the early
368 initiation of administration of new coronavirus vaccine: cohort study, Translated from
369 Japanese. [https://jcrtc.juntendo.ac.jp/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/順天堂 HP 掲載用
1224_FIX 版.pdf](https://jcrtc.juntendo.ac.jp/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/順天堂 HP 掲載用
370 1224_FIX 版.pdf). Published December 24, 2021. Accessed August 7, 2023.

Table 1. Demographic and occupational characteristics and scale scores

	Vaccination			
	1st		2nd	
	N	(%)	M	(SD)
Gender				
Male	721	(87.5)		
Female	103	(12.5)		
Age (yrs.)			38.1	(12.0)
18–19	25	(3.0)		24 (3.2)
20–29	228	(27.7)		208 (27.5)
30–39	186	(22.6)		163 (21.6)
40–49	211	(25.6)		191 (25.3)
50–59	153	(18.6)		150 (19.8)
≥ 60	21	(2.5)		20 (2.6)
<i>Side-effects</i>				
Fatigue [†]				
No	530	(64.3)		126 (16.7)
Short-term	250	(30.3)		497 (65.7)
Long-term	44	(5.3)		133 (17.6)
Fever				
No	715	(86.8)		107 (14.2)
Yes	109	(13.2)		649 (85.8)
Attendance [‡]				
No	487	(59.1)		672 (88.9)
Yes	337	(40.9)		84 (11.1)
Shift work				
Regular	553	(67.1)		511 (67.6)
Shift	271	(32.9)		245 (32.4)
Vaccination day				
Tuesday	453	(55.0)		406 (53.7)
Thursday	371	(45.0)		350 (46.3)
Distress [§]	31	(3.8)	2.7 (4.0)	23 (3.0)
Performance	824		0.920 (0.389)	756
				0.934 (0.416)

[†] Duration of general fatigue. The participants with a duration of less than and more than 3 days were classified in the short- and long-term groups, respectively.

[‡] Attendance at the workplace on the day after vaccination.

[§] Distress was defined as a total score of the K6 scale ≥ 13 . The means (M) and the standard deviations (SD) were calculated for all participants of each group.

373
374

375 Table 2. Fatigue and fever in the week after vaccination and the association between attendance on the
 376 day after vaccination and work performance

	Model 1 [†]			Model 2 [‡]				
	<i>M</i> [§]	(95% CI [¶])	<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>M</i> [§]	(95% CI [¶])	<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>
Fatigue								
No	0.974	(0.943, 1.005)	14.2	0.000	1.128	(1.048, 1.209)	5.8	0.003
Short-term	0.912	(0.880, 0.944)			1.049	(0.973, 1.125)		
Long-term	0.796	(0.735, 0.856)			0.976	(0.879, 1.072)		
Attendance								
No	0.899	(0.872, 0.926)	0.2	0.658	1.080	(1.011, 1.148)	2.7	0.101
Yes	0.889	(0.847, 0.930)			1.022	(0.935, 1.108)		
<i>Interaction</i>								
<i>Fatigue * Attendance</i>							0.7	0.485
<i>Fever * Fatigue</i>							1.3	0.283
<i>Fever * Attendance</i>							0.5	0.468
<i>Fever * Fatigue * Attendance</i>							3.6	0.027
<i>Simple main effects</i>								
Fever: No								
Fatigue: No								
Attendance								
No					1.114	(1.038, 1.190)	0.2	0.627
Yes					1.131	(1.049, 1.213)		
Fatigue: Short-term								
Attendance								
No					1.099	(1.010, 1.188)	0.0	0.902
Yes					1.092	(0.987, 1.197)		
Fatigue: Long-term								
Attendance								
No					1.126	(0.964, 1.288)	4.9	0.026
Yes					0.867	(0.687, 1.047)		
Fever: Yes								
Fatigue: No								
Attendance								
No					1.147	(1.047, 1.248)	0.1	0.784
Yes					1.120	(0.933, 1.308)		
Fatigue: Short-term								
Attendance								
No					1.077	(1.004, 1.150)	5.9	0.015
Yes					0.927	(0.793, 1.061)		

Fatigue: Long-term

Attendance

No	0.915	(0.822, 1.009)	0.5	0.467
Yes	0.994	(0.787, 1.201)		

[†] Crude model without adjusting any potential confounders.

[‡] Adjusted for sex, age, rotation (shift or regular work), vaccination day (Tuesday or Thursday), and psychological distress (K6 \geq 13 or not). Additionally, we included the interaction effects between side-effects (fatigue and fever) and attendance.

[§] M: Estimated means of self-rated performance in a recent week.

[¶] CI: confidence interval.

377

378

379 Table 3 Association between post-vaccination fever, fatigue, attendance, and work performance
 380 among the telework eligible workers

	Model 1 [†]		Model 2 [‡]		F	p
	M [§]	(95% CI [¶])	F	p		
Fatigue						
No	0.996	(0.950, 1.041)	7.6	0.001	1.213	(1.104, 1.323)
Short-term	0.885	(0.838, 0.931)			1.107	(1.005, 1.208)
Long-term	0.832	(0.739, 0.925)			1.049	(0.896, 1.202)
Attendance						
No	0.915	(0.873, 0.956)	0.4	0.536	1.202	(1.107, 1.297)
Yes	0.894	(0.835, 0.952)			1.044	(0.920, 1.168)
<i>Interaction</i>						
<i>Fatigue * Attendance</i>						3.2 0.040
<i>Fever * Fatigue</i>						3.1 0.044
<i>Fever * Attendance</i>						0.2 0.623
<i>Fever * Fatigue * Attendance</i>						1.3 0.276
<i>Simple main effects</i>						
Fever: No						
Fatigue						
No					1.194	(1.098, 1.290)
Short-term					1.159	(1.046, 1.271)
Long-term					1.217	(1.038, 1.397)
Fever: Yes						
Fatigue						
No					1.233	(1.074, 1.391)
Short-term					1.054	(0.936, 1.173)
Long-term					0.881	(0.655, 1.107)
<i>Simple main effects</i>						
Fatigue: No						
Attendance						
No					1.220	(1.111, 1.328)
Yes					1.207	(1.057, 1.358)
Fatigue: Short-term						
Attendance						
No					1.136	(1.033, 1.238)
Yes					1.077	(0.950, 1.205)
Fatigue: Long-term						
Attendance						

No	1.251	(1.100, 1.401)	8.5	0.004
Yes	0.848	(0.601, 1.095)		

[†] Crude model without adjusting any potential confounders.

[‡] Adjusted for sex, age, rotation (shift or regular work), vaccination day (Tuesday or Thursday), and psychological distress (K6 \geq 13 or not). Additionally, we included the interaction effects between side-effects (fatigue and fever) and attendance.

[§] M: Estimated means of self-rated performance in a recent week.

[¶] CI: confidence interval.

381

382

383