

**Non-attendance is associated with work performance due to the side effects of COVID-19
vaccination: A cross-sectional study in a Japanese manufacturing industry**

Misaki Yamada¹, Seitaro Dohi¹, Hiroshi Ide¹, Kosuke Mafune²

Author contributions:

M.Y. and K.M. conceived the ideas; M.Y. and H.I. collected the data; M.Y. and K.M. analyzed the data; S.D. and K.M. interpreted the data; M.Y. drafted the work; H.I., S.D., and K.M. revised it critically for important intellectual content. All the authors approved the final version of the manuscript to be published. They agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work and ensured that the questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work were appropriately investigated and resolved.

Authors' institutional affiliations:

1; Health care section, Mitsui Chemicals, Inc.

2; Department of Mental Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>, which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

26 Corresponding Author: Kosuke Mafune, Ph.D.

27 1-1, Iseigaoka, Yahatanishi-ku, Kitakyushu, Fukuoka 807-8555, Japan

28 Phone: +81-93-603-1611, Fax: +81-93-692-5419, E-mail: kmafune@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp

29

30 Running head:

31 Non-attendance is associated with work performance due to the side effects of vaccination.

ORIGINAL UNEDITED MANUSCRIPT

Abstract

Objective: Although vaccines have promoted the socioeconomic normalization of the new coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), adverse effects on work performance due to the post-vaccination side effects have been reported. Thus, we examined the relationship between the status of going to work the day following vaccination as a post-vaccination employment consideration and work performance among the Japanese workers in the manufacturing industry.

Methods: Overall, 1,273 employees who received the COVID-19 vaccine in a Japanese manufacturing district were surveyed using a self-administered web-based questionnaire that included fever, fatigue, workplace attendance the day after vaccination, work performance one week after vaccination, and the demographic and occupational characteristics (age, gender, work style, and psychological distress [K6 scale]). The effects of fatigue and attendance on declining work performance were estimated using a linear mixed model, with individuals as random effects and the rest as fixed effects.

Results: After adjusting for the demographic and occupational characteristics, the third-order interaction of fever, fatigue, and attendance on the day following vaccination was significant.

The non-attendance group had a significantly higher work performance than the attendance group in those without fever and long-term fatigue [$F(1, 1559)=4.9, p=0.026$] and with fever and short-term fatigue [$F(1, 1559)=5.9, p=0.015$]. Fever and workplace attendance the following day were not directly related to a decrease in work performance after vaccination.

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that non-attendance at the workplace is associated with work performance due to the side effects after COVID-19 vaccination.

Keywords: COVID-19, vaccination, work performance, fatigue, attendance

56 Key Points

57 *What is already known on this topic*

58 Although the side effects of COVID-19 vaccines disturb work performance among healthcare
59 workers, the association of the side effects with work performance is unclear among
60 manufacturing workers.

61

62 *What this study adds*

63 The non-attendance was associated with higher work performance than attendance on the day
64 following the COVID-19 vaccination.

65

66 *How this study might affect research, practice or policy*

67 This study could help maintain work performance against the side effects of vaccination in the
68 manufacturing industry.

69

ORIGINAL UNEDITED MANUSCRIPT

INTRODUCTION

Although vaccines against the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) are promoting economic normalcy, how to deal with the adverse effects on employment caused by their side effects is an urgent issue. Mild side effects of vaccines, such as fatigue and fever, occur frequently. Besides, cases of missing work owing to the vaccine-related side effects have been reported. However, which side effects adversely affect work performance and to what extent remains unexplored; further, despite the side effects on employment, no effective measures have been developed to date that do not decline work performance.

Fatigue, a side effect of the messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA)-based COVID-19 vaccines, negatively affects work-related performance. The incidence of the side effects of the COVID-19 vaccine is higher than that of the influenza vaccine, with 66% of the people who have been administered the COVID-19 vaccine experiencing at least one general symptom.^{1,2} The appearance of general symptoms, such as fever and fatigue, leads to increased absenteeism.² Although general symptoms did not result in absence from work, in a study of the Moderna vaccine's recipients, 25% of the healthcare workers had trouble performing their daily activities shortly after vaccination.¹ Unlike fever, fatigue is a subjective symptom, making it difficult to observe objectively and receive appropriate consideration from supervisors and co-workers. Therefore, there is a pressing need to consider the measures to minimize the impact of the side effects of the COVID-19 vaccine on work performance.

Although planned leaves from work after vaccination are helpful for business continuity, the effect of such leaves on work performance needs to be clarified. Avoiding same-day vaccinations in all departments to deal with sudden absences due to the side effects is

recommended.²⁻⁴ If organizations schedule to get vaccinated immediately before employee's planned leaves or provide paid time off for two days after vaccination, then the impact on absenteeism will be reduced.^{4,5} However, it is unclear whether planned leaves are associated with presenteeism.

This study focused on fatigue after vaccination and investigated the side effects, work attendance after vaccination, and work performance. Most studies have surveyed the side effects after vaccination, and their impact on work has been reported in healthcare workers;¹⁻⁵ however, no research has reported it in general workers. In addition, side effects and their impact on the attendance status and effective work considerations have not been scrutinized thus far. Therefore, we investigated the impact of the duration of the side effects and attendance status on work performance after vaccination in Japanese workers.

METHODS

Study design

This was an observational study based on a cross-sectional analysis of the survey data. A district of a manufacturing company in Japan vaccinated its employees with the COVID-19 vaccine (mRNA-1273 [Moderna]/first and second doses) at the workplace from July to September 2021. The company also conducted a web-based survey, wherein the participants were required to respond to items on their post-vaccination physical condition for management purposes. The survey form was emailed to the employees one week after each of the first and second doses, and the responses were collected between July 13 and September 16, 2021. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the University of Occupational and Environmental Health (R3-065).

Participants

Overall, 1,273 employees working in a manufacturing district were offered the opportunity to receive the COVID-19 vaccine at the workplace, of whom 934 requested to be vaccinated. A web-based questionnaire was sent to such 934 employees who received vaccinations at the workplace; the questionnaire was meant to follow up on their physical condition. We obtained participant's consent to use the survey results of the study and analyzed them. The participants included a mix of employees whose work was and was not teleworking eligible.

Measurements

Side effects

The number of days with side effects (fatigue and fever of 37.5°C or higher) persisting in the week after each vaccination was measured. Fatigue and fever were selected because they are reported to occur frequently as adverse reactions, as indicated by the vaccine and clinical reports.⁶⁻⁹ Fatigue was evaluated in three groups: no symptoms, short-term fatigue (one or two days), and long-term fatigue (three to seven days), with reference to the post-vaccination vacation system. Fever was examined in two groups: no symptoms and symptoms (one to seven days).

Attendance

The status of attendance at the workplace on the day after vaccination was measured using the choice of attendance at the workplace, telecommuting, paid leaves, or official holidays. As a special consideration for possible side effects after vaccination, manufacturing skilled workers, for whom telework was not normally part of their work schedule, were assigned tasks that they could perform at home. We evaluated two groups: the attendance (those who attended the

workplace) and non-attendance groups (those who did not attend the workplace [telecommuting, paid leaves, and official holidays]).

Work performance

Work performance during the previous year and one week after vaccination was measured using three questions extracted from the World Health Organization Health and Work Performance Questionnaire; besides, the reduction in the work performance due to vaccination was evaluated.¹⁰ The performance was rated on an 11-point Likert scale ranging from 0 to 10. The performance during the first week after vaccination was divided by performance during the previous year; it was considered as declining if it was below 1.0.

Potential confounder

Age, sex, work style, the day of the week of vaccination, and psychological distress were assessed. Age was evaluated in six age groups: 10s, 20s, 30s, 40s, 50s, and 60s and older. There were two types of work styles in this manufacturing plant: shift and regular day. Accordingly, we evaluated two groups: shift and non-shift workers. The day of the week when they were vaccinated was measured because the number of consecutive days off varied depending on the day after vaccination. This may have affected their choice of attendance on the day after the vaccination and their work performance after the vaccination. Psychological distress was measured using the K6¹¹ because poor mental status before vaccination might affect the workplace performance. It consists of six items asking about the symptoms of psychological distress frequently experienced during the past 30 days. The response options ranged from zero (never) to four (always); the higher the score, the worse the evaluation. If the K6 score was 13, the mental status was considered as poor.

Statistical analysis

The participants completed a questionnaire after each vaccination session. This was a cross-sectional study in which the results of each survey were analyzed as a single survey. First, to provide an overall view of the participants, the distributions of their demographic and occupational characteristics were calculated. Second, the direct effects of fatigue and attendance on the decline in work performance were estimated using a linear mixed design model, with individuals as random effects and the rest as fixed effects. The interactions between fatigue, fever, and attendance were confirmed. Third, we analyzed the decline in work performance only for those participants who could perform their normal work duties through teleworking (telework eligible workers). Finally, as a sensitivity analysis, we analyzed the decline in telecommuting performance among employees eligible for telework, excluding those who had a holiday or paid leave on the day after vaccination.

RESULTS

A total of 824 employees responded to the web-based survey (the responses after the second vaccination were not collected from 68 respondents). Table 1 shows the demographic and occupational characteristics and the scores for each scale. Among the vaccine recipients, 294 (35.6%) and 630 (83.3%) reported experiencing fatigue after the first and second doses, respectively. Overall, 487 (59.1%) and 672 (88.9%) recipients did not attend the workplace after the first and second vaccinations, respectively. Many employees requested the vaccination on earlier dates; moreover, there was no significant difference in the number of people vaccinated on different days of the week. The percentages of the recipients with a poor mental status before vaccination were 4.0% and 3.9% for the first and second doses, respectively. The mean work performance in the week following vaccination was lower than that in the year

before vaccination.

Table 2 shows the relationship between fatigue and fever during the week after vaccination, work performance, and workplace attendance on the day after vaccination. The main effect of fatigue was significant and multiple comparisons revealed that the greatest decline was observed for prolonged fatigue. Fever and attendance at the workplace the following day were not directly related to the workplace performance after the vaccination. The third-order interaction of fever, fatigue, and attendance the next day was significant. Among those who had long-term fatigue without fever, the non-attendance group had a significantly higher work performance compared with that of the attendance group. Among those with fever and short-term fatigue, the non-attendance group had a significantly higher work performance than that of the attendance group. In the cases of fever and long-term fatigue, work performance did not differ between the two attendance groups.

Table 3 presents the results of the analysis restricted to the telework eligible workers. In the model adjusted for the confounding factors, the main effects of fatigue and attendance at work on the day after the vaccination were significant. The interaction between fatigue and attendance was significant, and when the former persisted for a long period, the non-attendance group had a significantly higher work performance one week after the vaccination. Similar results were obtained in a comparison of attendance in the work and teleworking groups, which excluded those who were absent from work the next day and had paid time-off (Appendix).

DISCUSSION

Fatigue, which occurs at a high frequency after vaccination, adversely affects the work

performance during the first week after vaccination; however, this effect can be controlled by
workstyle considerations on the day after vaccination. In this study, the post-vaccination fatigue
occurred in 58.5% of the patients, similar to previous studies.^{1,12,13} The appearance of fatigue
significantly decreased the work performance during the first week after vaccination; however,
the presence of fever, which could be objectively determined, was not significantly associated
with performance. When we compared the groups that did (attendance group) and did not
(non-attendance group) attend the workplace the day after vaccination, we noticed that the
latter saw a lesser decline in performance when no fever and long-term fatigue persisted (three
to seven days) and also when fever and short-term (one or two days) fatigue occurred. Thus,
fatigue cannot be ignored when managers make considerations.

When the side effect of fever appears after vaccination, the status of non-attendance at the
workplace on the day after vaccination is practical in terms of a lesser decline in work
performance during the first week after vaccination due to short-term fatigue. In this study,
even if the duration of fatigue was short-term (one or two days), non-attendance had a
significantly higher work performance. According to the reports of the side effects of the
COVID-19 vaccine, fever is often at its maximum severity the day after vaccination.¹⁴ If
employees do not attend the workplace on the day after vaccination, then it may have higher
work performance during the first week after vaccination than if they attend the workplace.
This is because it avoids the decline in performance that occurs by attending the workplace on
the day after vaccination when a patient has a severe fever.

Even when the objectively determinable side effect of fever does not occur, the status of
non-attendance on the day after the vaccination is feasible in terms of a lesser decline in work

performance in the cases of prolonged fatigue. In this study, when fever did not occur and fatigue was long-term (three to seven days), non-attendance had a significantly higher work performance. According to the reports on the side effects of the COVID-19 vaccine, the proportion of severe cases of fatigue was higher on the day after the vaccination.¹⁴ On the day following the vaccination, when fatigue is the most serious, workplace attendance leads to poor work performance in the first week after the vaccination. The status of non-attendance at the workplace on the following day may have higher work performance than attendance during the first week after the vaccination in the case of prolonged fatigue by avoiding the performance decline on the day after vaccination. When fatigue was short-term (one or two days), the reason for the insufficient difference in the work performance between the attendance and non-attendance groups may be that the degree of fatigue was mild and had no adverse effects on the work performance. These results suggest that even if symptoms persist for a long period, the effect of short-term workstyle considerations on the work performance improvement is significant.

Non-attendance can be a practical measure after vaccination because it had higher work performance. For the telework eligible workers, non-attendance on the day after the vaccination has higher work performance than attendance, with or without fatigue. Although the decrease in the work performance is remarkable when fatigue persists over a long period, non-attendance is especially practical for the telework eligible workers because it had higher work performance. Even without limiting the participants, as mentioned above, non-attendance has higher work performance when there is fever and the duration of fatigue is short-term, or when there is no fever and fatigue is long-time. As the appearance and duration of fatigue are unknown prior to vaccination, preparing a non-attendance system for the post-vaccination work performance

decline may be a practical measure in offices with mixed work patterns, such as shift and indirect department work.

This is the first study to show the effectiveness of non-attendance at the workplace the day after vaccination in terms of a lesser decline in work performance due to fatigue (a common side effect of the COVID-19 vaccine) in the week following the vaccination. Nevertheless, it has some limitations. The number of days of fatigue is not an experience sampling method, and may be inaccurate because of a recall bias. Although a more precise assessment of the number of days could have been made using a method such as recording the presence or absence of fatigue at a regular time each day, the difference would have been minimal because the survey period was short (one week). Further, the survey period was short (one week), and considering the burden on the subjects, this survey was a realistic approach. Additionally, in severe cases involving fever and long-term fatigue, non-attendance at the workplace only on the day after the vaccination may be insufficient for work performance. In this study, as the results differed between the participants of the entire office and the telework eligible workers, more detailed effects could be obtained by assigning the number of non-working days to the participants and industry sectors and conducting intervention studies. Intervention studies were needed to prove the effects of non-attendance, but it was impractical in practice to assign work patterns by the researchers the day after vaccination, making intervention difficult. It was not possible to evaluate the association with the actual status of work and work performance. In this study, manufacturing skilled workers, for whom telework is not normally part of their work schedule, were assigned tasks that could be done at home, but the physical load was less than when they were at the workplace. Moreover, many manufacturing skilled workers may have chosen to telecommute because the manufacturing company assigned tasks that they could perform at

home as a special consideration for possible side effects after vaccination. Those who took paid leaves were to prepare for symptoms, a reality similar to waiting for work. Therefore, the actual conditions of teleworkers and paid leave takers may be similar, and the two could not be separated. Instead, the subjects were narrowed down to indirect departments where telework was implemented, and a sensitivity analysis was conducted to analyze the work-only results.

CONCLUSIONS

This study demonstrated the association with the non-attendance workstyle on the day following the COVID-19 vaccination and work performance. Fatigue occurs frequently after the COVID-19 vaccination and is difficult to objectively assess, making it tough to obtain consideration from others; however, avoiding attending the workplace the day after the vaccination may have higher work performance despite side effects during the first week after the vaccination. Considering productivity, it is important to choose a work style that results in a lesser decline in work performance rather than uniformly granting leave after vaccination. The results could help in planning vaccinations in the manufacturing industry, where telework programs are not available, when there is a need to promote vaccination against new emerging infectious diseases in the future. For other occupations that have telework programs, the results will help to consider employment considerations to maintain productivity. Many manufacturing skilled workers may have chosen to telecommute because the manufacturing company assigned tasks that they could perform at home as a special consideration for possible side effects after vaccination for manufacturing skilled workers. Research at other establishments and in other occupations would be desirable to determine the actual effects of telecommuting considerations on manufacturing skilled workers.

310 Data availability statement: Research data are not shared.

311

312 List of supporting information:

313 1) Appendix: Sensitivity analysis without absence (N=366 (1st), 151(2nd)).

314

315 Disclosure

316 Ethical approval: This study was approved by the ethics committee of the University of
317 Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan (Reference No. R3-065).

318

319 Informed consent: Informed consent from all participants was obtained via website.

320

321 Registry and registration no. of the study/trial: N/A.

322

323 Animal studies: N/A.

324

325 Conflict of interest: Authors declare no Conflict of Interests for this article.

References

1. Kadali RAK, Janagama R, Peruru S, et al. Non-life-threatening adverse effects with COVID-19 mRNA-1273 vaccine: A randomized, cross-sectional study on healthcare workers with detailed self-reported symptoms. *J Med Virol* 2021;93(7):4420-4429. doi:[10.1002/jmv.26996](https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.26996)
2. Chrissian AA, Oyoyo UE, Patel P, et al. Impact of COVID-19 vaccine-associated side effects on health care worker absenteeism and future booster vaccination. *Vaccine* 2022;40(23):3174-3181. doi:[10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.04.046](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.04.046)
3. Cohen DA, Greenberg P, Formanowski B, Parikh PD. Are COVID-19 mRNA vaccine side effects severe enough to cause missed work? Cross-sectional study of health care-associated workers. *Medicine* 2022;101(7):e28839. doi:[10.1097/md.00000000000028839](https://doi.org/10.1097/md.00000000000028839)
4. Breeher LE, Wolf ME, Geyer H, et al. Work absence following COVID-19 vaccination in a cohort of healthcare personnel. *J Occup Environ Med* 2022;64(1):6-9. doi:[10.1097/jom.0000000000002376](https://doi.org/10.1097/jom.0000000000002376)
5. Park C, Sakong J, Jo S, Kim M, Baek K. Adverse effects on work and daily life interference among healthcare workers after the first and second ChAdOx1 and BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccine doses. *Vaccines* 2021;9(8):926. doi:[10.3390/vaccines9080926](https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9080926)
6. Pormohammad A, Zarei M, Ghorbani S, et al. Efficacy and safety of COVID-19 vaccines: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Vaccines* 2021;9(5):467. doi:[10.3390/vaccines9050467](https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9050467)
7. Kaur RJ, Dutta S, Bhardwaj P, et al. Adverse events reported from COVID-19 vaccine trials: A systematic review. *Indian J Clin Biochem* 2021;36(4):427-439. doi:[10.1007/s12291-021-00968-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12291-021-00968-z)

8. Rabail R, Ahmed W, Ilyas M, et al. The side effects and adverse clinical cases reported after COVID-19 immunization. *Vaccines* 2022;10(4):488. doi:[10.3390/vaccines10040488](https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines10040488)
9. Co. MadbMJ. A medical package insert “Spikevax® Intramuscular Injection (Monovalent: Original),” Translated from Japanese. 2021. Accessed August 7, 2023.
10. Kessler RC, Barber C, Beck A, et al. The World Health Organization Health and Work Performance Questionnaire (HPQ). *J Occup Environ Med* 2003;45(2):156-174. doi:[10.1097/01.jom.0000052967.43131.51](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.jom.0000052967.43131.51)
11. Furukawa TA, Kawakami N, Saitoh M, et al. The performance of the Japanese version of the K6 and K10 in the World Mental Health Survey Japan. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* 2008;17(3):152-158. doi:[10.1002/mpr.257](https://doi.org/10.1002/mpr.257)
12. Meo SA, Bukhari IA, Akram J, Meo AS, Klonoff DC. COVID-19 vaccines: comparison of biological, pharmacological characteristics and adverse effects of Pfizer/BioNTech and Moderna Vaccines. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2021;25(3):1663-1669. doi:[10.26355/eurrev_202102_24877](https://doi.org/10.26355/eurrev_202102_24877)
13. Andrzejczak-Grządka S, Czudy Z, Donderska M. Side effects after COVID-19 vaccinations among residents of Poland. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2021;25(12):4418-4421. doi:[10.26355/eurrev_202106_26153](https://doi.org/10.26355/eurrev_202106_26153)
14. Ito S, Kusunoki S, Tsuchida N, Kaneko Y, Tobita M. Focused study of the early initiation of administration of new coronavirus vaccine: cohort study, Translated from Japanese. https://jcrtc.juntendo.ac.jp/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/順天堂 HP 掲載用 1224_FIX 版. pdf. Published December 24, 2021. Accessed August 7, 2023.

[§] *Distress* was defined as a total score of the K6 scale ≥ 13 . The means (*M*) and the standard deviations (*SD*) were calculated for all participants of each group.

373

374

ORIGINAL UNEDITED MANUSCRIPT

375 Table 2. Fatigue and fever in the week after vaccination and the association between attendance on the
376 day after vaccination and work performance

| | <i>Model 1[†]</i> | | <i>F</i> | <i>p</i> | <i>Model 2[‡]</i> | | <i>F</i> | <i>p</i> |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------|----------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------|----------|
| | <i>M^s</i> | (95% CI [¶]) | | | <i>M^s</i> | (95% CI [¶]) | | |
| Fatigue | | | | | | | | |
| No | 0.974 | (0.943, 1.005) | 14.2 | 0.000 | 1.128 | (1.048, 1.209) | 5.8 | 0.003 |
| Short-term | 0.912 | (0.880, 0.944) | | | 1.049 | (0.973, 1.125) | | |
| Long-term | 0.796 | (0.735, 0.856) | | | 0.976 | (0.879, 1.072) | | |
| Attendance | | | | | | | | |
| No | 0.899 | (0.872, 0.926) | 0.2 | 0.658 | 1.080 | (1.011, 1.148) | 2.7 | 0.101 |
| Yes | 0.889 | (0.847, 0.930) | | | 1.022 | (0.935, 1.108) | | |
| Interaction | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Fatigue * Attendance</i> | | | | | | | 0.7 | 0.485 |
| <i>Fever * Fatigue</i> | | | | | | | 1.3 | 0.283 |
| <i>Fever * Attendance</i> | | | | | | | 0.5 | 0.468 |
| <i>Fever * Fatigue * Attendance</i> | | | | | | | 3.6 | 0.027 |
| Simple main effects | | | | | | | | |
| Fever: No | | | | | | | | |
| Fatigue: No | | | | | | | | |
| Attendance | | | | | | | | |
| No | | | | | 1.114 | (1.038, 1.190) | 0.2 | 0.627 |
| Yes | | | | | 1.131 | (1.049, 1.213) | | |
| Fatigue: Short-term | | | | | | | | |
| Attendance | | | | | | | | |
| No | | | | | 1.099 | (1.010, 1.188) | 0.0 | 0.902 |
| Yes | | | | | 1.092 | (0.987, 1.197) | | |
| Fatigue: Long-term | | | | | | | | |
| Attendance | | | | | | | | |
| No | | | | | 1.126 | (0.964, 1.288) | 4.9 | 0.026 |
| Yes | | | | | 0.867 | (0.687, 1.047) | | |
| Fever: Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Fatigue: No | | | | | | | | |
| Attendance | | | | | | | | |
| No | | | | | 1.147 | (1.047, 1.248) | 0.1 | 0.784 |
| Yes | | | | | 1.120 | (0.933, 1.308) | | |
| Fatigue: Short-term | | | | | | | | |
| Attendance | | | | | | | | |
| No | | | | | 1.077 | (1.004, 1.150) | 5.9 | 0.015 |
| Yes | | | | | 0.927 | (0.793, 1.061) | | |

Fatigue: Long-term

Attendance

No

0.915 (0.822, 1.009) 0.5 0.467

Yes

0.994 (0.787, 1.201)

[†] Crude model without adjusting any potential confounders.

[‡] Adjusted for sex, age, rotation (shift or regular work), vaccination day (Tuesday or Thursday), and psychological distress (K6 \geq 13 or not). Additionally, we included the interaction effects between side-effects (fatigue and fever) and attendance.

[§] M: Estimated means of self-rated performance in a recent week.

[¶] CI: confidence interval.

377

378

ORIGINAL UNEDITED MANUSCRIPT

379 Table 3 Association between post-vaccination fever, fatigue, attendance, and work performance
380 among the telework eligible workers

| | <i>Model 1[†]</i> | | | | <i>Model 2[‡]</i> | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | <i>M[§]</i> | (95% CI) | <i>F</i> | <i>p</i> | <i>M[§]</i> | (95% CI) | <i>F</i> | <i>p</i> |
| Fatigue | | | | | | | | |
| No | 0.996 | (0.950, 1.041) | 7.6 | 0.001 | 1.213 | (1.104, 1.323) | 3.5 | 0.030 |
| Short-term | 0.885 | (0.838, 0.931) | | | 1.107 | (1.005, 1.208) | | |
| Long-term | 0.832 | (0.739, 0.925) | | | 1.049 | (0.896, 1.202) | | |
| Attendance | | | | | | | | |
| No | 0.915 | (0.873, 0.956) | 0.4 | 0.536 | 1.202 | (1.107, 1.297) | 8.0 | 0.005 |
| Yes | 0.894 | (0.835, 0.952) | | | 1.044 | (0.920, 1.168) | | |
| <i>Interaction</i> | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Fatigue * Attendance</i> | | | | | | | 3.2 | 0.040 |
| <i>Fever * Fatigue</i> | | | | | | | 3.1 | 0.044 |
| <i>Fever * Attendance</i> | | | | | | | 0.2 | 0.623 |
| <i>Fever * Fatigue * Attendance</i> | | | | | | | 1.3 | 0.276 |
| <i>Simple main effects</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Fever: No | | | | | | | | |
| Fatigue | | | | | | | | |
| No | | | | | 1.194 | (1.098, 1.290) | 0.4 | 0.688 |
| Short-term | | | | | 1.159 | (1.046, 1.271) | | |
| Long-term | | | | | 1.217 | (1.038, 1.397) | | |
| Fever: Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Fatigue | | | | | | | | |
| No | | | | | 1.233 | (1.074, 1.391) | 4.3 | 0.014 |
| Short-term | | | | | 1.054 | (0.936, 1.173) | | |
| Long-term | | | | | 0.881 | (0.655, 1.107) | | |
| <i>Simple main effects</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Fatigue: No | | | | | | | | |
| Attendance | | | | | | | | |
| No | | | | | 1.220 | (1.111, 1.328) | 0.0 | 0.870 |
| Yes | | | | | 1.207 | (1.057, 1.358) | | |
| Fatigue: Short-term | | | | | | | | |
| Attendance | | | | | | | | |
| No | | | | | 1.136 | (1.033, 1.238) | 1.0 | 0.308 |
| Yes | | | | | 1.077 | (0.950, 1.205) | | |
| Fatigue: Long-term | | | | | | | | |
| Attendance | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|-----|-------|----------------|-----|-------|
| No | 1.251 | (1.100, 1.401) | 8.5 | 0.004 |
| Yes | 0.848 | (0.601, 1.095) | | |

[†] Crude model without adjusting any potential confounders.

[‡] Adjusted for sex, age, rotation (shift or regular work), vaccination day (Tuesday or Thursday), and psychological distress (K6 \geq 13 or not). Additionally, we included the interaction effects between side-effects (fatigue and fever) and attendance.

§ M: Estimated means of self-rated performance in a recent week.

[¶] CI: confidence interval.

381

382

383

ORIGINAL UNEDITED MANUSCRIPT